1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ROWLAND PUGH, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-1091 DFL PAN P 12 VS. 13 A. STONE, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed March 15, 2006, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within thirty 16 17 days, why his action should not be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and 18 plaintiff has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court's order. 19 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 20 21 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 22 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty 23 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written 24 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's 25 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

/////

26

## Case 2:04-cv-01091-DFL-PAN Document 15 Filed 04/26/06 Page 2 of 2

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: April 25, 2006.
4	
5	al I Montal.
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	/001; pugh1091.fsc
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	